

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

Douglas Campbell, Treasurer Erin McClelland for Congress P.O. Box 2824 Lower Burrell, PA 15068 OCT 2-0 2016

RE:

MUR 7010

Dear Dr. Campbell:

On February 19, 2016, the Federal Election Commission notified Erin McClelland, Erin McClelland for Congress, and you in your official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee") of a complaint alleging violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. Based upon information contained in the complaint, as well as information provided by the Committee, the Commission decided to exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss the complaint and close the file in this matter. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter on October 11, 2016.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Wanda D. Brown, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Lisa J. Stevenson

Acting General Counsel

BY:

Jeff/S. Joydan

Assistant General Counsel Complaints Examination and Legal Administration

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

2

1

4

5

6

9

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

RESPONDENTS:

Erin McClelland

MUR 7010

Erin McClelland for Congress, Inc.

Douglas Campbell, as treasurer

7 8

I. INTRODUCTION

This matter was generated by a Complaint filed by Sam Wheeler, on behalf of Stokes,

Wasser, and Wheeler, LLP ("SWW"), ("Complainant") on February 12, 2016, alleging

violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and

Commission regulations by Erin McClelland, 2014 candidate for Pennsylvania's 12th

Congressional District, and McClelland for Congress, Inc. and Douglas Campbell in his official

capacity as treasurer (collectively the "Committee"). It was scored as a relatively low-rated

matter under the Enforcement Priority System, a system by which the Commission uses formal

scoring criteria as a basis to allocate its resources and decide which matters to pursue.

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

According to the Complainant, the Committee violated the Act when it falsely reported a disbursement and failed to disclose a debt owed to the complainant's firm in its 2015 Year-End disclosure report filed with the Commission.² Specifically, complainant Sam Wheeler, on behalf of Stokes, Wasser, and Wheeler, LLP alleges that in the Committee's 2015 Year-End report, it falsely disclosed a \$35,000 disbursement to SWW, for which the purpose was recorded as "debt

McClelland won the 2014 Democratic nomination, but lost the November 2014 general election. McClelland is now the 2016 Democratic nominee for the same seat.

² Compl. at 3 (Feb. 12, 2016).

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Dismissal and Case Closure – MUR 7010

Factual and Legal Analysis for
Erin McClelland for Congress, Inc.
Page 2 of 4

zeroed," and eliminated from its report a previously disclosed \$35,000 debt still owed to SWW.³

2 The complaint refers to a memo submitted with the Committee's disbursement schedule on

3 which the Committee claimed that the "debt was reported by a part owner of the firm and

4 previous campaign manager, Adam Stokes" and that "[n]o contract for that amount was signed

5 or produced by the firm. Stokes, Wasser, and Wheeler, LLP and Adam Stokes have been paid in

full for services rendered."⁴ The Complaint alleges that SWW has not been paid in full, and that

7 the Committee still owes \$35,000 to SWW for management and consulting services provided

during the 2014 primary election.⁵ Further, the Complaint asserts that even if the Committee did

not agree that it owed the debt, it should have been disclosed and reported as disputed.⁶

In a sworn response filed by the Committee's treasurer, Douglas Campbell states that he became the Committee's treasurer in April 2015 and had no role with the Committee during the 2014 primary election. Campbell claims that when he assumed the Committee's reporting responsibilities, the Committee was continuing to report the \$35,000 obligation to SWW as a "carry-over" from McClelland's 2014 campaign. Campbell also indicates that when the Committee's "2015 annual report" was being prepared, the candidate "advised that the claim was being made by those formerly in control of the campaign, and that they had made no effort to enforce it since the fall of 2014." Campbell declares that "a decision was made to write off the

^{3.} *Id.* at 3-4.

⁴ *Id.* at 4.

is Id.

⁶ *Id*.

⁷ Resp. at 1 (March 2, 2016).

⁸ Id.

⁹ *Id*.

Dismissal and Case Closure – MUR 7010 Factual and Legal Analysis for Erin McClelland for Congress, Inc. Page 3 of 4

- disputed SWW claim by 'zeroing out' the amount on the Committee's 2015 annual report." 10
- 2 After the Committee filed its 2015 Year-End report, Campbell says that he received a call around
- 3 February 9, 2015, from SWW's counsel, who told Campbell that the Committee still owed the
- 4 debt and that SWW intended to file a complaint with the Commission, as well as a civil
- 5 collection action. 11 Consequently, the Committee amended its 2015 Year-End report on
- 6 February 19, 2016, to remove the \$35,000 disbursement and show the amount as a disputed
- 7 debt. 12

8 Committee treasurers are required to file reports of receipts and disbursements in

- 9 accordance with the provisions of the Act.¹³ The reports must include the amount and nature of
- outstanding debts and obligations owed by or to the political committee.¹⁴ Further, the
- 11 Commission's regulations specify that a debt or obligation exceeding \$500 must be disclosed in
- the report that covers the date on which the debt or obligation is incurred. 15 Debts and
- obligations shall be continuously reported until extinguished, and shall also include a statement
- 14 explaining the circumstances under which each debt and obligation was incurred or
- extinguished. 16 A Committee shall also report a disputed debt 17 if the creditor has provided

¹⁰ Id.

¹¹ Id. at 2.

¹² Resp., Attach. 1 & 2.

¹³ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(1), 11 C.F.R. § 104.1(a).

¹⁴ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(8); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d).

¹⁵ 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(d).

¹⁶ See 11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b).

A debt is disputed where an actual or potential debt or obligation owed by a political committee, including an obligation arising from a written contract, promise or agreement to make an expenditure, where there is a bona fide disagreement between the creditor and the political committee as to the existence or amount of the obligation owed by the political committee. 11 C.F.R. § 116.1(d).

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Dismissal and Case Closure – MUR 7010 Factual and Legal Analysis for Erin McClelland for Congress, Inc. Page 4 of 4

something of value to the political committee. ¹⁸ Until the dispute is resolved, the committee

2 shall disclose on the appropriate reports any amounts paid to the creditor, any amount the

3 political committee admits it owes, and the amount the creditor claims is owed."19

The Committee concedes that it did not disclose the \$35,000 debt as "disputed" in its

5 original 2015 Year-End report. However, soon after SWW notified the Committee that it would

file a complaint with the Commission and attempt to collect the debt, the Committee amended its

2015 Year-End report to remove the reported disbursement and include the disputed debt. The

Committee has continued to report the debt in its subsequent disclosure reports.

The Committee quickly amended its 2015 Year-End disclosure report to include the disputed debt, and continues to include the debt on its disclosure reports. Therefore, in furtherance of the Commission's priorities, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, and in light of the corrective actions taken by the Committee, the Commission exercised its prosecutorial discretion and dismissed the matter.²⁰

¹⁸ 11 C.F.R. § 116.10(a).

¹⁹ Id.

²⁰ Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).